



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/820,132

04/08/2004

Jim Davies

558 (P1338US00)

8632

40604 7590 10/24/2008
MITEL NETWORKS CORPORATION
MICHELLE WHITTINGTON, ESQ.
7300 WEST BOSTON STREET
CHANDLER, AZ 85226

EXAMINER

NGUYEN, PHUNG HOANG JOSEPH

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2614

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

10/24/2008

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary	Application No. 10/820,132	Applicant(s) DAVIES ET AL.	
	Examiner PHUNG-HOANG J. NGUYEN	Art Unit 2614	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) PHUNG-HOANG J. NGUYEN. (3) ____.

(2) Michelle Wittinton. (4) ____.

Date of Interview: 20 October 2008.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☐ No.
If Yes, brief description: ____.

Claim(s) discussed: ____.

Identification of prior art discussed: Wood (Pat 6,091,808).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☒ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The applicant's rep, Ms. Wittinton, though she agreed that examiner did a proper Final rejection with the new art (Wood), expressed her disappointment that she does not have a chance to amend the claims in view of the new art rejection (Wood) without going thru the RCE process. After discussed further with SPE, examiner has placed a message to Ms. Wittinton indicated that she can appeal/request for reconsideration.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Phung-Hoang J Nguyen/
Examiner, Art Unit 2614